٥	30m F/1
	LARA R. SHAPIRO (State Bar No. 227194) 4145 Via Marina # 324 Marina del Rey, CA 90292 Telephone: (310) 577-0870
1	LARA R. SHAPIRO (State Bar No. 227194)
2	4145 Via Marina # 324   Marina del Rey, CA 90292
3	Telephone: (310) 577-0870
4	Facsimile: (424) 228-5351
5	Of Counsel to
6	Lemberg & Associates LLC
7	A Connecticut Law Firm 1100 Summer Street  Street GT 0005
8	Stamford, C1 06905
/ <sub>9</sub>	Telephone: (203) 653-2250
10	Facsimile: (203) 653-3424
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff,
12	DeAndrea Savage
13	
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
16	CV10 4585
17	DeAndrea Savage, Case No.:
18	Plaintiff, COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES
19	1. VIOLATION OF FAIR DEBT
20	vs. COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 ET. SEQ;
21	Chase Receivables; and 2. VIOLATION OF FAIR DEBT
22	DOES 1-10, inclusive, COLLECTION PRATICES ACT, CAL.CIV.CODE § 1788 ET. SEQ.
23	Defendants.
24	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
25	
26	
27	
28	
	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES
	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

Case3:10-cv-04585-CRB Document1 Filed10/12/10 Page1

5

11

15

21

28

For this Complaint, the Plaintiff, DeAndrea Savage, by undersigned counsel, states as follows:

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 1. This action arises out of Defendants' repeated violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. ("FDCPA"), and the invasions of Plaintiff's personal privacy by the Defendants and its agents in their illegal efforts to collect a consumer debt.
  - 2. Supplemental jurisdiction exists pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 3. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that Defendants transact business here and a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this action occurred here.

#### **PARTIES**

- 4. The Plaintiff, DeAndrea Savage (hereafter "Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing in Savannah, Georgia, and is a "consumer" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 5. Defendant, Chase Receivables (hereafter "Chase"), is a California business entity with an address of 1247 Broadway, Sonoma, California 95476, operating as a collection agency, and is a "debt collector" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
- 6. Does 1-10 (the "Collectors") are individual collectors employed by Chase and whose identities are currently unknown to the Plaintiff. One or more of the COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES 2

Collectors may be joined as parties once their identities are disclosed through discovery.

7. Chase at all times acted by and through one or more of the Collectors.

#### ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL COUNTS

#### A. The Debt

- 8. The Plaintiff incurred a financial obligation in the approximate amount of \$148.00 (the "Debt") to Verizon (the "Creditor").
- 9. The Debt arose from services provided by the Creditor which were primarily for family, personal or household purposes and which meets the definition of a "debt" under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 10. The Debt was purchased, assigned or transferred to Chase for collection, or Chase was employed by the Creditor to collect the Debt.
- 11. The Defendants attempted to collect the Debt and, as such, engaged in "communications" as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

#### B. Chase Engages in Harassment and Abusive Tactics

- 12. Chase called the client numerous times per day in an attempt to collect the Debt with the intent to harass.
- 13. On numerous occasions, Chase disconnected the call while the Plaintiff was speaking.

- 14. Chase called the Plaintiff numerous times between the hours of 9:00 PM and 8:00 AM.
  - 15. Chase was rude and abusive when speaking to the Plaintiff.
- 16. Chase failed to identify themselves as debt collectors when speaking to the Plaintiff.

#### C. <u>Plaintiff Suffered Actual Damages</u>

- 17. The Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer actual damages as a result of the Defendants' unlawful conduct.
- 18. As a direct consequence of the Defendants' acts, practices and conduct, the Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer from humiliation, anger, anxiety, emotional distress, fear, frustration and embarrassment.
- 19. The Defendants' conduct was so outrageous in character, and so extreme in degree, as to go beyond all possible bounds of decency, and to be regarded as atrocious, and utterly intolerable in a civilized community.

#### **COUNT I**

# <u>VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT</u> 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq.

20. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

- 21. The Defendants contacted the Plaintiff at a place and during a time known to be inconvenient for the Plaintiff, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(a)(1).
- 22. The Defendants contacted the Plaintiff before 8:00 a.m. and after 9:00 p.m., in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(a)(1).
- 23. The Defendants used profane and abusive language when speaking with the consumer, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(2).
- 24. The Defendants caused a phone to ring repeatedly and engaged the Plaintiff in telephone conversations, with the intent to annoy and harass, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(5).
- 25. The Defendants employed false and deceptive means to collect a debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10).
- 26. The foregoing acts and omissions of the Defendants constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA, including every one of the above-cited provisions.
- 27. The Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of the Defendants' violations.

#### **COUNT II**

## VIOLATION OF THE ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT, Cal. Civ. Code § 1788 et seq.

28. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

- 29. The Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, California Civil Code section 1788 et seq. ("Rosenthal Act") prohibits unfair and deceptive acts and practices in the collection of consumer debts.
- 30. Chase, in the regular course of business, engages in debt collection and is a "debt collector" as defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.2(c).
- 31. The Defendants threatened physical force and violence, or other criminal means, to physically harm the Plaintiff, in violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.1(a).
- 32. The Defendants used obscene and profane language when speaking with the Plaintiff, in violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.11(a).
- 33. The Defendants caused a telephone to ring repeatedly and engaged the Plaintiff in continuous conversations with an intent to annoy the Plaintiff, in violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.11(d).
- 34. The Defendants communicated with the Plaintiff with such frequency as to be considered harassment, in violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.11(e).
- 35. The Defendants failed to comply with the provisions of 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq., in violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.13(e).
- 36. The Defendants did not comply with the provisions of Title 15, Section 1692 of the United States Code, in violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.17.
- 37. The Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of the Defendants' violations.

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against the Defendants:

- A. Actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1) against the Defendants;
- B. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(2)(A) against the Defendants;
- C. Costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3) against the Defendants;
- D. Actual damages pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(a);
- E. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 per violation for knowingly and willfully committing violations pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(b);
- F. Statutory damages pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) & (C);
- G. Actual damages from the Defendants for the all damages including emotional distress suffered as a result of the intentional, reckless, and/or negligent FDCPA violations and intentional, reckless, and/or negligent invasions of privacy in an amount to be determined at trial for the Plaintiff;
- H. Punitive damages; and
- I. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

### TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS DATED: October 11, 2010 LARA SHAPIRO By: /s/ Lara R. Shapiro Lara R. Shapiro Attorney for Plaintiff DeAndrea Savage